

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/569,952</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) ALTHEIMER ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner JESSICA T. STULTZ</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2873</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/569,952	Applicant(s) ALTHEIMER ET AL.	Examiner JESSICA T. STULTZ	Art Unit 2873
Application No. 10/569,952	Applicant(s) ALTHEIMER ET AL.				
Examiner JESSICA T. STULTZ	Art Unit 2873				

All Participants:

(1) JESSICA T. STULTZ

(2) Michael Jacobs.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
4, 16, 19

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim amendments were discussed to change the dependency of claims 4 and 16 to depend from claim 1, rather than cancelled claim 3 and to cancel claim 19. It was agreed that these amendments would be made by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jessica T Stultz/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)